



STOP HIV/AIDS Semi-Annual Monitoring Report

S1 (January 1, 2014 – June 30, 2014)

Ellen Demlow¹, Tim Chu¹, Dr. Reka Gustafson², Dr. Jat Sandhu¹

Public Health Surveillance Unit

Communicable Disease Control

Final Version: December 2, 2014



Foreword

The Seek and Treat for Optimal Prevention (STOP) of HIV/AIDS Project was a 3 year pilot (Feb 2010 – Mar 2013) funded by the British Columbia Ministry of Health to expand HIV testing, treatment and support with the goal of reducing HIV transmission in British Columbia. The pilot project was implemented in Vancouver and Prince George. Funding was provided to Vancouver Coastal Health (VCH), Providence Health Care (PHC), Northern Health (NH), the Provincial Health Services Authority (PHSA), and the British Columbia Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS (BCCfE). The pilot project was successfully implemented in Vancouver over the 3 year pilot period and achieved the project goals to:

- Reduce the number of new HIV/AIDS diagnoses in Vancouver (in the long term).
- Reduce the impact of HIV/AIDS through effective screening and early detection.
- Ensure timely access to high quality and safe HIV/AIDS care and treatment.
- Improve the patient experience in every step of the HIV/AIDS journey.
- Demonstrate system and cost optimization.

Following the successful implementation as a pilot project, the B.C. government announced a provincial roll out of the initiative from April 1, 2013.

The provincial project goals are to:

- Reduce the number of new HIV infections in B.C.
- Improve the quality, effectiveness, and reach of HIV prevention services.
- Increase early diagnosis of HIV.
- Reduce AIDS cases and HIV-related mortality.

Provincial level monitoring and evaluation is being conducted by the BCCfE using provincial testing data from the BC Centre for Disease Control (BCCDC) and provincial treatment data from the provincial drug treatment program at the BCCfE. Quarterly population level indicator reports are produced by the BCCDC and BCCfE for monitoring and evaluation purposes.

During the pilot, the Vancouver STOP HIV/AIDS Project *Quarterly Monitoring Report* was developed to enable VCH and PHC (Vancouver STOP partners) to report on overall changes in the project's targeted activities and display results at a population level within Vancouver Health Service Delivery Area (HSDA) and across VCH. This report provided important information to support timely and informed decision regarding project implementation, resource allocation and post-project sustainability planning.

With the expansion, we will continue to monitor the key indicators across VCH. The *Semi-Annual Monitoring Report* will provide the output to support informed decision making, and inform internal and external stakeholder groups about the VCH STOP project's impacts.

Please note the data sources used for this report are of a dynamic nature, and are subject to change on a frequent basis. Definitions of some indicators are also subject to change in order to meet the evaluation needs of the regional stakeholders. Please refer to Appendix A and consult the most recent report for the most up to date definitions. If you have any comments and queries, please forward them to Tim Chu (tim.chu@vch.ca) or Ellen Demlow (ellen.demlow@vch.ca).

Table of Contents

Acknowle	agements	_
Table of A	Abbreviations	6
Caution/Ir	nterpretations	7
Section 1	Population Monitoring Report Overview	Ģ
Population	Level Monitoring Indicators	10
Summary	Table Results	12
Monitorin	g Indicators Summary Table	15
Section 2	Testing Indicators - Graphs, Maps and Tables	19
Figure 1	Number and Percent Positivity of POC tests	23
Table 1	Number of HIV Lab Tests by HSDA/LHA of Testing Clinic	24
Map 1	Mean Monthly HIV Lab Tests per 10,000 population by LHA - Historical Period	25
Map 2	Mean Monthly HIV Lab Tests per 10,000 population by LHA - STOP Pilot Period	25
Map 3	Mean Monthly HIV Lab Tests per 10,000 population by LHA - Stop Expansion	25
Figure 2	Number and Percent Positivity of HIV Lab Tests among VCH Residents	26
Figure 3	Number and Percent Positivity of HIV Lab Tests among VCH Residents by HSDA	27
Table 2	Monthly Average of HIV Lab Tests, Population Rate of Lab Tests, Positives and Percent Positives among VCH Residents by HSDA/LHA	28
Table 3	Percent Positivity of HIV Lab Tests among Vancouver Residents by Gender and Age Group	29
Table 4	Percent Positivity of HIV Lab Tests among Rest of VCH Residents by Gender and Age Group	30
Figure 4	Proportion of New HIV Positives by Gender and Males/Females Ratio by Year of Diagnosis	31
Table 5	Proportion of HIV Positive by Gender, Exposure and Year of Diagnosis (Vancouver HSDA)	32
Table 6	Proportion of HIV Positive by Gender, Exposure and Year of Diagnosis (Rest of VCH)	32
Figure 5	Number of Patients' CD4 Cell Count and Disease Stage at Diagnosis by Year of Diagnosis	33
Figure 6	Proportion of Patients' CD4 Cell Count and Disease Stage at Diagnosis by Year of Diagnosis	34
Figure 7	Proportion and Ratio of Patients Diagnosed with CD4 > 500/Acute Stage and CD4 < 200 by Year of Diagnosis	35
Map 4	Mean CD4 Cell Count at Diagnosis by HSDA/LHA – Historical Period	36

Map 5	Mean CD4 Cell Count at Diagnosis by HSDA/LHA – STOP HIV/AIDS Period	36
Section 3 l	Public Health Management Indicators - Graphs, Maps and Tables	37
Figure 8	Proportion of New Diagnoses with Records of Public Health Follow-up	39
Figure 9	Total Number of Contacts Elicited, Contacts per Case and Contacts Notified	40
Figure 10	Proportion of Known Contacts and Average Number of Anonymous Contacts per Index Case	41
Figure 11	Number of Contacts Notified and Tested and Percent Positivity due to Contact Tracing	42
Section 4	Treatment Indicators - Graphs, Maps and Tables	43
Table 7	Proportion of Patients Linked to Care within 30 Days of Diagnosis by Gender, Exposure and Year of Diagnosis (Vancouver HSDA)	47
Table 8	Proportion of Patients Linked to Care within 30 Days of Diagnosis by Gender, Exposure and Year of Diagnosis (Rest of VCH)	47
Table 9	Proportion of Patients Linked to Care within 30 Days of Diagnosis by Gender, Age Group and Year of Diagnosis (Vancouver HSDA)	48
Table 10	Proportion of Patients Linked to Care within 30 Days of Diagnosis by Gender, Age Group and Year of Diagnosis (Rest of VCH)	48
Table 11	Proportion of Patients Currently Retained in Care by Gender, Exposure and Year of Diagnosis (Vancouver HSDA)	49
Table 12	Proportion of Patients Currently Retained in Care by Gender, Exposure and Year of Diagnosis (Rest of VCH)	49
Table 13	Proportion of Patients Currently Prescribed ARVs by Gender, Exposure and Year of Diagnosis (Vancouver HSDA)	50
Table 14	Proportion of Patients Currently Prescribed ARVs by Gender, Exposure and Year of Diagnosis (Rest of VCH)	50
Table 15	Mean Monitored Viral Load, Proportion of HIV Positive Individuals Not Fully Suppressed with Viral Load > 200 Copies/mL (Vancouver HSDA)	51
Table 16	Mean Monitored Viral Load, Proportion of HIV Positive Individuals Not Fully Suppressed with Viral Load > 200 Copies/mL (Rest of VCH)	52
Figure 12	Mean Monitored Viral Load, Proportion of HIV Positive Individuals Not Fully Suppressed	53
Map 6	Mean Monitored Viral Load by Local Health Area – Historical Period	54
Map 7	Mean Monitored Viral Load by Local Health Area – STOP HIV/AIDS Period	54
Appendix A	A Indicator Definitions and Rationale	55
Appendix 1	B Population Monitoring Data Sources	67

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the contributions of our many partners. Without their support this report would not have been possible.

- BC Centre for Disease Control STI/HIV Control and Lauren MacDonald, Epidemiologist
- BC Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS Benita Yip & William Chau, Analysts and Dr. Kate Heath, Epidemiologist
- Microbiology & Virology at Providence Health Care Willson Jang, Technical Leader
- BC Public Health Microbiology and Reference Laboratory
- Vancouver Coastal Health STOP Outreach Team
- Vancouver Coastal Health Communicable Disease Control Margot Smythe,
 Communicable Disease Nurse Coordinator; Laura Zerr, HIV Communicable Disease
 Control Nurse & the Communicable Disease Control Nurses
- Vancouver Coastal Health Hope to Health Project Team

Table of Abbreviations

AIDS Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome

ARVs Antiretroviral therapy (can also be abbreviated as ART)

BC British Columbia

BCCfE British Columbia Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS

C +/- An increase or decrease in a particular indicator for current time period compared

to previous half-year

CD4 Cluster of differentiation 4, is a marker to identify a type of human T helper cell

DTES Downtown Eastside, Vancouver

H +/- An increase or decrease in a particular indicator for current time period compared

to the historical time period (January 2008 – June 2010)

HIV Human Immunodeficiency Virus

HSDA Health Service Delivery Area

IDU Injecting Drug Use
LHA Local Health Area

mL Milliliter

MSM Men who have sex with men

POC Point of Care HIV Test

PHSU Public Health Surveillance Unit

S +/- An increase or decrease in a particular indicator for current time period compared

to STOP period

STOP HIV/AIDS Seek and Treat for Optimal Prevention of HIV/AIDS

VCH Vancouver Coastal Health (Authority)

vL Viral Load

Y +/- An increase or decrease, for a particular indicator, in current year-to-date values

compared to the previous year-to-date

Q1 Quarter 1 in the calendar year

Q2 Quarter 2 in the calendar year

Q3 Quarter 3 in the calendar year

Q4 Quarter 4 in the calendar year

S1 The first half of the calendar year

S2 The second half of the calendar year

Cautions/Interpretations

- The data sources used for this report are of a dynamic nature, and subject to change on a frequent basis. The results reported herein are current as of September 18, 2014.
- A number of indicators are susceptible to the longitudinal dynamic nature of the data used for this report, and therefore their values reported for the current time period are expected to change in the next reporting period. For this reason, significant differences should be interpreted with this in mind.
- Through individual-level data linkage this report is able to describe select measures at the population-level for a specific dataset of linked individuals with nearly complete information. Extrapolation of these results to the entire population receiving treatment within VCH, should take this into consideration. For this reason, absolute numbers in particular should be interpreted with caution.
- Since the changes from Quarterly Report to Semi-Annual Report, definitions on several indicators have been changed or modified. Moreover, several indicator numbers also changed to meet the new structure of the Report. Please check the definitions in the Appendix A. The following indicators have definition changes: VCH45a, VCH45b, VCH16, VCH17, VCH17a, VCH19, VCH24, VCH23a, VCH23b, VCH23c, VCH46a, VCH49, VCH52, VCH54.
- In this report, the proportion will not be reported if the denominator is less than 5.

Note: Please always refer to the most recent report.



Population Level Monitoring Indicators S1, 2014 (January 1 to June 30, 2014)

VCH Semi-Annual Monitoring Report Overview

The VCH STOP Evaluation Task Group approved a complete set of monitoring indicators in April 2011, to be monitored over the course of STOP. In general these indicators report data from across the HIV patient journey, but more specifically they evaluate important components of the core testing, public health management and treatment objectives of the STOP HIV/AIDS pilot project. A rationale and definition of each indicator is provided in Appendix A.

The VCH Monitoring Report presents both a summary table and a series of associated figures, maps and tables.

Summary Table

The summary table reports on the approved monitoring indicators, and is organized by testing, public health management and treatment phase of the patient journey. This table presents data from the current half-year for each indicator, and compares them to data from the preceding three half-year periods, the entire STOP HIV/AIDS pilot project period (July 1, 2010 to current), and to a historical baseline period (January 1, 2008 – June 30, 2010). The table also reports on:

- Counts (or proportions) for the current year to date, and
- Counts (or proportions) for the same time period in the previous year.

Significant differences compared to the preceding half-year (C+/-), the STOP HIV/AIDS period (S+/-), historical baseline period (H+/-), and year-to-date (Y+/-) and are noted in the far right column. Where possible, statistically significant differences (p<0.05) are determined; however, for some indicators significant differences are determined to be values with an increase or decrease of 10%.

Additional Figures, Maps and Tables

Graphs, maps and tables, are prepared to examine the data across different sociodemographic and clinical stratifications. Such graphs are not prepared for every indicator, but rather for a selection with important trends during the current half-year.

Data Sources

The data sources collected and compiled for this report, are described in Appendix B. Individual HIV public health surveillance records were linked using deterministic methods to the BCCfE clinical monitoring and drug treatment program data, creating a unique longitudinal dataset of the HIV continuum within VCH. Of all known HIV diagnoses reported in Vancouver since May 2003, 89% were linked to clinical monitoring and drug treatment records. The remaining 11% are diagnoses who participated in non-nominal testing and are therefore unable to be linked, but may still be engaged in care. Those individuals from the BC CfE database who did not link to HIV surveillance records were primarily (88%) diagnosed before May 2003, the remaining 12% are likely the population of non-nominal testers. Comparative analyses of unlinked individuals to the linked population verify that the linked dataset is representative of the greater population of all

INTERNAL REPORT – DO NOT DISTRIBUTE

known HIV positive individuals across VCH on a number of sociodemographic, clinical and epidemiological characteristics. For more detailed information please refer to the Q1 2012 report (http://www.vch.ca/media/Q1 20_012-Monitoring-Report_STOP-HIV-AIDS.pdf).

Summary Table Results S1 (January 1 – June 30, 2014)

Testing Indicators

- The number of POC tests from POC test sites engaged in STOP HIV/AIDS initiatives decreased compared to the preceding periods, the average since STOP and year-to-date while the number of new positives from POC tests increased compared to preceding periods and year-to-date [VCH1, VCH4a].
- Overall HIV lab testing volumes from VCH <u>residents</u>, <u>or those who tested in VCH</u>, continued to increase over the course of the STOP HIV/AIDS project. In S1 2014, volumes increased more than 46% compared to the average since STOP, and were 123% higher than the historical baseline [VCH8a].
- HIV lab test volumes from <u>clinics</u> in VCH and across all VCH HSDAs also continued to increase compared to the average since STOP and in comparison to the historical baseline [VCH8b]. Compared to the historical average, Richmond HSDA had the highest increase (269%), followed by Vancouver (125%), Coastal Rural (91%) and Coastal Urban (84%).
- HIV lab tests among residents of all HSDAs increased in comparison to the preceding periods as well to the average since STOP, the historical baseline and year-to-date [VCH11a]. Compared to the historical average, Richmond HSDA had the highest increase (261%), followed by Vancouver (133%), Coastal Rural (103%) and Coastal Urban (88%).
- HIV lab tests from non-VCH residents who tested in VCH [VCH11d] increased compared to the preceding periods and remains significantly higher than the average since STOP, the historical baseline, and year-to-date.
- The number of newly reported HIV positives from VCH significantly increased in comparison to the preceding periods [VCH13a], the average since STOP and year-to-date 2013. Ninety-three percent of cases were reported among Vancouver residents.
- The percent positivity of HIV testing [VCH14a] increased in Vancouver HSDA compared to the preceding half year although remains below the average since STOP and the historical baseline. The decline in percent positivity since STOP may be attributed to the broader testing strategy that is generating a greater number of HIV tests in lower risk settings as well as the sustained increase in overall testing volumes. Due to a small number of positives in Richmond, Coastal Urban and Coastal Rural, the percent positivity is likely to fluctuate.
- The proportion of new HIV positive cases diagnosed with a CD4 count >= 500 cells/mm³ or at acute stage disease [VCH45a] in Vancouver HSDA remained higher than the average since STOP and the historical baseline. However, the changes were not statistically significant. This reporting period and the previous half year are the first consecutive periods with the proportion diagnosed early remaining above 50%.
- The proportion of new HIV positive cases diagnosed with a CD4 count < 200 cell/mm³ [VCH45b] in Vancouver HSDA is the lowest proportion observed yet (8%) when compared to the preceding periods, the average since STOP, and the historical baseline. The proportion of cases reported in the rest of VCH (except Vancouver), with a CD4 count <200 cell/mm³ should be interpreted with caution due to small case numbers.

Public Health Management Indicators

These indicators were established to measure public health management activities augmented for STOP HIV/AIDS. Data are collected by public health practitioners using a contact tracing form developed for this purpose. As a result, baseline data prior to STOP HIV/AIDS are not available.

- The proportion of new positives with a record of public health follow-up significantly decreased in comparison to the preceding periods [VCH16]. It is important to note that the public health management indicators are based on the case diagnosis date and therefore are likely to change as follow-up is completed over time.
- The number of contacts elicited increased compared to the preceding periods, and year-to-date [VCH17].
- The average number of contacts elicited per positive case remains stable compared to the preceding periods, the average since STOP and year-to-date [VCH17a]. An average of 4 contacts per case was elicited in the most recent period.
- The proportion of contacts notified increased compared to the preceding periods and the average since STOP [VCH19]. In comparison with year-to-date in 2013, the proportion of contacts notified was almost the same.
- The proportion of notified contacts that were known to be previously HIV positive increased compared to the preceding periods [VCH24] and since STOP although not significantly.
- The most recent period saw a significant increase in the proportion of notified contacts known to be tested for HIV compared to the preceding periods and was the highest proportion since STOP [VCH23a].
- Three new HIV positive cases were diagnosed through public health follow-up during the
 most recent period, which was lower than the preceding period although consistent with
 the average since STOP [VCH23b].
- Among notified contacts who tested for HIV in the first half of 2014, the percent positivity was 4%, which is lower than the average since STOP [VCH23c].

Treatment Indicators

- The proportion of new diagnoses linked to care within 30 days in Vancouver HSDA is slightly greater than the average since STOP, and higher than the historical baseline [VCH41]. Among the rest of VCH residents in this period, the proportion linked to care within 30 days was higher than the historical baseline and similar to the average since STOP. This should be interpreted with caution due to a small number of new positives in Richmond, Coastal Urban and Coastal Rural.
- The median time to linkage to HIV care in VCH remains below the average since STOP and the historical baseline although a slight increase to 7 days was observed compared to the most recent reporting period (6 days). [VCH44b].
- The proportion of HIV patients currently retained in care remained stable from the preceding periods, the average since STOP and year-to-date [VCH46]. 92% of Richmond residents were currently retained in care, followed by Coastal Rural (84%), Vancouver (81%) and Coastal Urban (77%).
- The proportion of patients not found in care did not change significantly in Vancouver HSDA when compared to the preceding periods, the average since STOP, the historical baseline and year-to-date [VCH47b]. The proportion of patients not found in care in

INTERNAL REPORT – DO NOT DISTRIBUTE

- Coastal Urban increased slightly when compared to the average since STOP, and the historical average. In the most recent period, 28% of Coastal Urban residents were not found in care, followed by Vancouver (18%), Richmond (10%) and Coastal Rural (0%).
- The proportion of patients currently prescribed ARVs significantly increased in Vancouver HSDA compared to the average since STOP and the historical baseline [VCH48]. Conversely, the proportion of patients who discontinued and did not restart ARVs decreased significantly compared to the preceding periods, the average since STOP, the historical baseline and year-to-date [VCH49].
- The proportion of individuals newly taking ARVs and achieving viral suppression (viral load < 200 copies/mL) within 9 months of treatment within Vancouver HSDA decreased compared to the preceding period, the average since STOP, and the historical baseline although not significantly. The same trend was seen in the rest of VCH (excluding Vancouver) [VCH51]. It is important to note that this indicator is influenced by a lag in data capture.
- The proportion of individuals on ARVs achieving viral suppression with viral load <200 copies/mL increased significantly compared to STOP, the historical baseline, and year-to-date [VCH52]. The greatest proportion of individuals achieving viral suppression was observed in Coastal Urban HSDA with 93% followed by Richmond with 89%.
- The mean monitored viral load of all known HIV positive individuals in VCH was significantly lower than the average since STOP and the historical baseline [VCH53]. In Coastal Rural, the mean monitored viral load decreased significantly compared to the preceding periods, the average since STOP, the historical baseline and year-to-date.
- The proportion of individuals with a viral load >200 copies/ml (not suppressed) decreased significantly within Vancouver HSDA, when compared to the average since STOP and the historical baseline [VCH54].



STOP HIV/AIDS Monitoring Indicators Semi-Annual Summary Report S1 (January 1 – June 30, 2014)



How you want to be treated.

Indicate	or Number	Indicator Name		Counts by	/ Half-Year			OP HIV/AI 1, 2010 to			orical Base		Year to D	ate Cases	Significance
murcut	, rumber	materior Hume	Jan-Jun 2014	Jul-Dec 2013	Jan-Jun 2013	Jul-Dec 2012	Avg	Min	Max	Avg	Min	Max	Year 2014	Year 2013	Significance
	VCH1	Number of POC tests	3540	3697	4085	4169	4039	792	8669	N/A	N/A	N/A	3540	4085	S-Y-
	VCH4a	Number of new true positive POC tests	22	17	19	19	22	3	41	N/A	N/A	N/A	22	19	C+Y+
	VCH8a	Overall number of HIV lab tests (either from VCH residents or those who tested at a VCH clinic)	85519	72722	73888	59764	58683	39376	85519	38377	37536	39565	85519	73888	C+S+H+Y+
	VCH8b	Number of HIV lab tests from all clinics in VCH	83471	71156	72166	57905	56793	37465	83471	36406	35556	37578	83471	72166	C+S+H+Y+
	VCH8b.1	Vancouver	66247	60886	62344	49405	47291	30351	66247	29440	28679	30530	66247	62344	S+H+
	VCH8b.2	Richmond	8591	3749	3723	3094	3703	2347	8591	2329	2227	2421	8591	3723	C+S+H+Y+
	VCH8b.3	Coastal Urban	5465	3986	3848	3489	3703	3052	5465	2975	2898	3105	5465	3848	C+S+H+Y+
	VCH8b.4	Coastal Rural	3168	2535	2251	1917	2097	1633	3168	1661	1619	1731	3168	2251	C+S+H+Y+
	VCH11a*	Number of HIV lab tests from residents of VCH	73827	62457	63704	50484	49158	32042	73827	31022	30243	32022	73827	63704	C+S+H+Y+
	VCH11a.1*	Vancouver	53730	49589	51205	39822	37678	23699	53730	23060	22358	23862	53730	51205	S+H+
	VCH11a.2*	Richmond	10153	5018	4958	4145	4640	2961	10153	2812	2672	2915	10153	4958	C+S+H+Y+
	VCH11a.3*	Coastal Urban	6255	4858	4828	4240	4402	3456	6255	3330	3260	3410	6255	4828	C+S+H+Y+
	VCH11a.4*	Coastal Rural	3689	2992	2713	2277	2438	1858	3689	1820	1771	1871	3689	2713	C+S+H+Y+
S	VCH11d	Number of HIV lab tests from known non-residents of VCH, who tested in VCH	11692	10265	10184	9280	9525	7334	11692	7355	7256	7590	11692	10184	C+S+H+Y+
Testing Indicators	VCH13a*	Number of positive HIV diagnoses for VCH residents	87	65	76	65	79	65	98	87	77	100	87	76	C+S+Y+
sting	VCH13a.1*	Vancouver	81	59	69	60	72	59	87	80	72	94	81	69	C+S+Y+
P	VCH13a.2*	Richmond	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	5	-	-	C+S-H-Y-
	VCH13a.3*	Coastal Urban	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	C+S+Y+
	VCH13a.4*	Coastal Rural	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	C-S-H+Y-
	VCH14a	Percent positivity (%) of VCH residents	0.12	0.10	0.12	0.13	0.16	0.10	0.27	0.28	0.25	0.33	0.12	0.12	S-H-
	VCH14a.1	Vancouver	0.15	0.12	0.13	0.15	0.19	0.12	0.32	0.35	0.32	0.42	0.15	0.13	H-
	VCH14a.2	Richmond	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.17	-	-	0.17	-	-	
	VCH14a.3	Coastal Urban	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	VCH14a.4	Coastal Rural	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	VCH45a	Proportion of all VCH HIV patients with CD4 count > 500 cells/mm3 or acute stage at diagnosis (%)	54	55	39	47	48	39	55	41	35	53	54	39	
	VCH45a.1	Vancouver	57	55	41	48	49	41	57	42	34	56	57	41	
	VCH45a.2	Rest of VCH	17	60	17	40	33	17	60	29	0	44	17	17	
	VCH45b	Proportion of all VCH HIV patients with CD4 count < 200 cells/mm3 at diagnosis (%)	12	22	24	20	19	11	25	22	16	32	12	24	
	VCH45b.1	Vancouver	8	20	21	18	18	8	25	20	14	29	8	21	
	VCH45b.2	Rest of VCH	50	40	50	40	33	17	50	34	0	80	50	50	

Notes

- *This includes testers or positives who have known residential information within VCH and those who test
 in VCH but do not have available residential information.
- Data used for this report are longitudinal and of dynamic nature, with many indicators being subject to a lag
 in time to reporting. Therefore indicator values are likely to change with continual data updating.



Interpretation

- C+/- represents an increase or decrease for current time period compared to preceding period
- S +/- represents an increase or decrease for current time period compared to STOP Period (July 1, 2010 to current)
- H +/- represents an increase or decrease for current time period compared to historical time period (January 2008-June 2010)
- Y +/- represents an increase or decrease for current year-to-date compared to previous year-to-date
- "-"represents a suppressed cell where the value is derived from a number of individuals less than 5 in a defined region.
- STOP HIV/AIDS average is the average of all half-years since July 1, 2010, with the minimum and maximum during all half-years since STOP. This also applies to historical baseline average.



STOP HIV/AIDS Monitoring Indicators Semi-Annual Summary Report S1 (January 1 – June 30, 2014)



Indicato	r Number	Indicator Name	Counts by Half-Year			r	STOP HIV/AIDS (July 1, 2010 to date)			Historical Baseline (Jan 1, 2008 to Jun 30, 2010)					Significance
			Jan-Jun 2014	Jul-Dec 2013	Jan-Jun 2013	Jul-Dec 2012	Avg	Min	Max	Avg	Min	Max	Year 2014	Year 2013	
s	VCH 16	Proportion of new positives with record of public health follow-up	75	89	86	80	85	75	91	N/A	N/A	N/A	75	86	C-
icator	VCH17	Number of contacts elicited	282	233	235	265	284	196	390	N/A	N/A	N/A	282	235	C+Y+
Health Management Indicators		Average number of contacts elicited per positive case	4	4	4	5	4	3	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	4	
ageme	VCH19	Proportion of contacts notified (%)	50	43	49	43	46	35	59	N/A	N/A	N/A	50	49	
h Man	VCH24	Proportion of notified contacts who were known to be previously HIV positive (%)	19	17	13	11	17	11	21	N/A	N/A	N/A	19	13	
Healt	VCH23a	Proportion of notified contacts tested for HIV (%)	75	67	56	49	57	48	75	N/A	N/A	N/A	75	56	S+Y+
Public	VCH23b	Number of notified contacts who tested HIV positive	3	5	5	1	4	1	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	5	C-S-Y-
		Percent positivity (%) due to Contact Tracing	4	9	9	2	7	2	14	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	9	

Notes

Data used for this report is longitudinal and of a dynamic nature, with many indicators being subject to a lag
in time to reporting. Therefore indicator values are likely to change with continual data updating.



Interpretation

- C +/- represents an increase or decrease for current time period compared to preceding period
- S +/- represents an increase or decrease for current time period compared to STOP Period (July 1, 2010 to current)
- H+/- represents an increase or decrease for current time period compared to historical time period (January 2008-June 2010)
- STOP HIV/AIDS average is the average of all half-years since July 1, 2010, with the minimum and maximum during all half-years since STOP. This also applies to historical baseline average.



STOP HIV/AIDS Monitoring Indicators Semi-Annual Summary Report S1 (January 1 – June 30, 2014)



How you want to be treated.

Indicates Name		Counts by	/ Half-Year			OP HIV/AI 1, 2010 to			torical Base 008 to Jun		Year to D	ate Cases	Significan
Indicator Name	Jan-Jun 2014	Jul-Dec 2013	Jan-Jun 2013	Jul-Dec 2012	Avg	Min	Max	Avg	Min	Max	Year 2014	Year 2013	Significance
Proportion of new diagnoses within VCH linked to care within 30 days of diagnosis (%)	78	86	86	83	77	68	86	64	54	71	78	86	
Vancouver Rest of VCH	78 83	86 83	87 71	83 80	76 81	67 71	87 89	64 60	56 33	71 70	78 83	87 71	
Time to linkage to HIV care among	65	03	- / -	80	01	/1	09	00	33	70	63	71	
those newly diagnosed with HIV within VCH (median days)	7	6	9	8	9	6	10	12	10	13	7	9	C+S-H-Y-
Vancouver Rest of VCH	7	6 8	8 14	8 15	8 11	6 7	10 15	11 14	9 8	13 24	7	8 14	C+H-Y- C-S-H-Y-
Proportion of HIV patients who are	,		14	15	''		15	14	0	24	'	14	0-0-11-1
currently retained in care within VCH (%)	81	82	82	82	82	81	82	79	77	79	81	82	
Vancouver	-	81	82	82	81	81	82	78	77	79	81	82	
Richmond		88	86	87	88	86	92	95	90	100	92	86	
Coastal Urban	77	79	76	77	79	76	83	84	78	88	77	76	
Coastal Rural	84	93	85	76	81	65	93	66	53	78	84	85	
Proportion of matched HIV patients not found in care within VCH (%)	18	18	17	16	17	16	18	18	18	20	18	17	
Vancouver	18	18	17	17	17	16	18	19	18	20	18	17	
Richmond	10	12	11	8	10	7	12	6	3	9	10	11	
Coastal Urban	28	27	25	21	22	13	28	16	9	21	28	25	
Coastal Rural	0	0	6	7	6	0	12	20	5	35	0	6	H-
Proportion of patients who are currently prescribed ARVs within VCH (%)	69	68	67	66	65	58	69	48	41	55	69	67	S+H+
Vancouver	68	67	66	66	65	57	68	47	40	54	68	66	S+H+
Richmond	85	81	83	81	79	67	85	66	48	76	85	83	H+
Coastal Urban	63	63	61	61	62	59	65	55	53	57	63	61	
Coastal Rural	85	87	89	85	81	69	89	61	52	69	85	89	H+
Proportion of patients who have discontinued and currently not restarted ARVs within VCH (%)	10	12	13	14	16	10	26	38	30	48	10	13	C-S-H-Y-
Vancouver	10	12	13	14	16	10	26	39	31	49	10	13	S-H-Y-
Richmond		12	10	11	14	7	28	27	18	46	7	10	H-
Coastal Urban	18	19	21	19	19	15	21	25	21	30	18	21	
Coastal Rural	5	6	6	10	12	5	24	29	22	35	5	6	H-
Proportion of individuals within VCH newly taking ARVs who are virally suppressed with viral load less than 200 copies/mL within 9 months since treatment start (%)	85	92	88	89	90	85	94	90	88	91	85	88	
Vancouver	86	92	87	89	90	86	93	90	87	91	86	87	
Rest of VCH	73	94	89	91	93	73	100	91	81	100	73	89	
Proportion of all individuals on ARVs who are currently virally suppressed with viral load less than 200 copies/mL within VCH (%)	86	85	83	83	83	80	86	78	74	81	86	83	S+H+Y+
Vancouver	86	85	83	82	83	79	86	78	74	81	86	83	S+H+
Richmond	89	96	87	85	85	69	96	80	71	89	89	87	
Coastal Urban	93	88	88	90	89	84	93	86	72	93	93	88	
Coastal Rural	86	79	75	79	79	68	93	81	64	100	86	75	
Mean monitored viral load (copies/mL) of all known HIV positive individuals within VCH	68	75	86	89	103	68	187	393	223	776	68	86	S-H-Y-
Vancouver	70	75	88	92	105	70	192	417	234	815	70	88	S-H-Y-
Richmond	52	50	54	66	76	50	179	266	112	635	52	54	S-H-
Coastal Urban	72	57	92	67	82	57	157	178	102	555	72	92	C+S-H-Y-
Coastal Rural	43	139	91	77	99	43	200	178	85	404	43	91	C-S-H-Y-
Proportion of all individuals with viral load greater than 200 copy/mL within VCH (%)	18	19	21	22	24	18	36	47	39	58	18	21	S-H-Y-
Vancouver	18	19	21	22	25	18	37	48	40	59	18	21	S-H-Y-
Richmond	12	6	17	13	20	6	37	42	29	50	12	17	H-
Coastal Urban	13	17	19	15	20	13	31	32	22	55	13	19	
Coastal Rural	15	31	23	27	27	15	39	43	33	55	15	23	

INTERNAL REPORT - DO NOT DISTRIBUTE

Notes

- The analyses for most of treatment indicators are based on the individuals in a linked dataset of PHSU HIV Surveillance data and BCCfE Drug Treatment Program data. Except for indicators VCH41 and VCH44b, which use the full PHSU HIV Surveillance dataset and BCCfE Drug Treatment Program Data.
- Data used for this report is longitudinal and of a dynamic nature, with many indicators being subject to a lag in time to reporting.
 Therefore indicator values are likely to change with continual data updating.
- Treatment indicator denominators are described in appendix A and change overtime as new diagnoses are added and indicator restrictions adjust case population. For an example of case volume; denominators for S2 2013 VCH48 were as follows: Vancouver (n=1,909), Richmond (n=63), Coastal Urban (n=71), Coastal Rural (n=39).

Interpretation

- C +/- represents an increase or decrease for current time period compared to preceding period
- S +/- represents an increase or decrease for current time period compared to STOP Period (July 1, 2010 to current)
- H +/- represents an increase or decrease for current time period compared to historical time period (2008-2009)
- Y +/- represents an increase or decrease for current year-to-date compared to previous year-to-date
- STOP HIV/AIDS average is the average of all half-years since July 1, 2010, with the minimum and maximum during all half-years since STOP. This also applies to historical baseline average.

Section 2. Testing Indicators

Figures, Maps and Tables

Summary of Results – Testing Indicators

Figure 1. Number and Percent Positivity of HIV POC Tests

The number of POC tests decreased slightly in the first half of 2014 compared to the preceding period. However, the percent positivity increased compared to the preceding periods and year-to-date.

Table 1. Number of HIV Lab Tests by HSDA/LHA of Testing Clinic

Lab tests from Richmond, Coastal Urban, and Coastal Rural HSDAs continued to increase substantially, while all Vancouver LHAs experienced marginal increases in testing numbers. HIV test volumes from clinics in Powell River had the greatest increase with 168% in S1 2014 compared to S1 2013, followed by Richmond (131%).

Maps 1-3. Mean Monthly HIV Lab Tests per 10,000 population among VCH residents

Since the expansion, average monthly HIV testing rates continued to increase across most of the HSDAs/LHAs, except for Coastal Urban. Compared to the average rate in the STOP Pilot period, most noticeable increases were observed in Powell River (110%), followed by Howe Sound (66%) and Central Coast (41%).

Figure 2. Number and Percent Positivity of HIV Lab Tests among VCH Residents

The number of HIV lab tests among residents of VCH increased steadily from the initiation of STOP HIV/AIDS, with the highest volumes observed in S1 2014. Along with this steady increase in HIV lab tests, there was a declining trend in percent positivity that has levelled since 2013.

Figure 3. Number and Percent Positivity of HIV Lab Tests among VCH Residents by HSDA

The trend in HIV lab testing in Vancouver HSDA was similar to VCH overall as the majority of tests were currently conducted among Vancouver residents.

Testing volumes increased substantially in Richmond, and slight increases were observed in Coastal Urban, and Coastal Rural among known residents of each HSDA. Due to the small number of identified positives, the percent positivity fluctuates by year in Richmond, Coastal Urban and Coastal Rural.

Table 2. Monthly Average of HIV Lab Tests, Population Rate of HIV Lab Tests, HIV Positives and Percent Positivity among VCH residents by HSDA/LHA

Significant increases in the monthly average and rate of HIV lab tests were seen across most HSDAs/LHAs since April 2013, except Coastal Urban, and North Vancouver. HIV tests increased by 147% in Powell River compared to the historical baseline, followed by Howe Sound (135%), and Vancouver DTES (82%).

No significant differences were observed in the monthly average of new HIV positives or in the percent positivity across the whole region.

Table 3, 4. Percent Positivity of HIV Lab Tests among VCH Residents by Gender and Age Group

Vancouver HSDA (Table 3)

The percent positivity for HIV testing continued to increase among males aged 20-29 and declined among males aged 40-49 years compared to preceding half-years. In comparison with historical baseline, the percent positivity decreased among all males aged 30 or greater years. Overall, the percent positivity among males slightly increased in the first half year of 2014, when compared with the first half year of 2013.

While the percent positivity among female age groups are lower overall compared with males, the highest percent positivity was observed among those aged 40-49 in the first half of 2014. Percent positivity among females of all age groups decreased compared to the historical average.

Rest of VCH (Table 4)

The percent positivity across age groups among males continues to fluctuate each period due to low volumes of new diagnoses. The overall percent positivity for this most recent period among men is below the previous two periods as well as since STOP HIV/AIDS and the historical baseline. The age groups with the highest percent positivity in this period were among men aged 30-59.

The percent positivity across age groups among females continues to fluctuate each period due to low volumes of new diagnoses. Overall, the percent positivity among females for this most recent period is below the yield since STOP HIV/AIDS. However, this should be interpreted with caution due to the small number of identified positives among females.

Figure 4. Proportion of New HIV Positives by Gender and Males/Females Ratio by Year of Diagnosis

Vancouver HSDA:

More males were diagnosed compared to females in the first half year of 2014 (15 males to 1 female). The majority of new diagnoses (94%) in Vancouver continue to be among males. *Rest of VCH:*

Due to the very small number of females diagnosed with HIV in rest of VCH residents, no stable trend in proportion is observed, and this should be interpreted with caution.

Table 5, 6. Proportion of HIV positives by Gender, Exposure and Year of Diagnosis *Vancouver HSDA (Table 5):*

Proportionally, more new diagnoses were seen among males with MSM exposure in 2010-2014 compared to all other exposure groups. The proportion of new diagnoses among MSM has increased since 2003-2005, while those with IDU exposure and heterosexual exposure has declined.

The proportion of females with IDU exposure decreased in 2010-2014 compared to previous years, while females with heterosexual exposure increased.

Rest of VCH (Table 6):

For males, the same exposure trends were observed as seen in Vancouver HSDA. The proportion of new diagnoses among MSM has increased since 2003-2005, while those with IDU exposure and heterosexual exposure declined.

INTERNAL REPORT - DO NOT DISTRIBUTE

Due to the very small number of females diagnosed with HIV in rest of VCH residents, no stable trend in proportion is observed, and this should be interpreted with caution.

Figure 5, 6. Number and Proportion of Patients' CD4 Cell Count and Disease Stage at Diagnosis by Year of Diagnosis

In the first half of 2014, the number of patients newly diagnosed with HIV was the highest since the second half year of 2011. However, a declining trend in the overall number of new positives since 2003 was observed (Figure 5).

In the first half of 2014, the highest proportion of new diagnoses with a CD4 count > 500 or acute stage disease at time of diagnosis was observed since 2003 (58%). Furthermore, a decline in the proportion of HIV patients diagnosed with a CD4 count <200 cells/mm³ in the first half of 2014 was the lowest since the second half of 2011 (Figure 6).

Figure 7. Proportion and Ratio of Patients Diagnosed with CD4 > 500/Acute Stage and CD4 < 200 by Year of Diagnosis

Compared with annual proportions since 2006, the proportion of patients diagnosed with a CD4 count <200 cells/mm³ in the first half of 2014 is lowest observed. Conversely, the proportion of patients diagnosed with CD4 counts>=500 cells/mm³ or diagnosed at the acute stage further increased to the highest proportion observed yet. Therefore, the ratio of those diagnosed with a CD4>500/acute stage vs. a CD4 < 200 is the highest since 2006 (5:1).


Maps 4, 5. Median CD4 Cell Count (cells/mm³) at diagnosis for HIV positive individuals

Compared to the historical baseline (Map 4), the median CD4 cell count at diagnosis for residents of Richmond, Coastal Rural, Vancouver Westside, and Vancouver South had declined since the STOP initiative. Meanwhile the median CD4 cell count at diagnosis had increased in Coastal Urban and Vancouver City Centre, Vancouver DTES, and Vancouver Midtown. There were no noticeable changes in other regions of VCH though small variations were observed (Map 5).



Figure 1. Number and Percent Positivity of HIV POC Tests





POC test counts include only volumes reported from sites engaged in STOP HIV/AIDS activities. POC positive test counts include only positive tests reported to VCH CDC Department HIV Nurse. Source: HIV Point of Care (POC) Data.





Table 1. Number of HIV Lab Tests by HSDA/LHA of Testing Clinic



		Cou	nts			TOP HIV/A			orical Base		Year t	o Date	
Region	Jan-Jun 2014	Jul-Dec 2013	Jan-Jun 2013	Jul-Dec 2012	Avg	Min	Max	Avg	Min	Max	Year 2014	Year 2013	Significance
Richmond	8591	3749	3723	3094	3703	2347	8591	2329	2227	2421	8591	3723	C+S+H+Y+
Coastal Urban	5465	3986	3848	3489	3703	3052	5465	2975	2898	3105	5465	3848	C+S+H+Y+
LHA 44 North Vancouver	4332	3164	3043	2758	2960	2484	4332	2365	2341	2422	4332	3043	C+S+H+Y+
LHA45 West Vancouver- Bowen Island	1133	822	805	731	742	568	1133	611	553	683	1133	805	C+S+H+Y+
Coastal Rural	3168	2535	2251	1917	2097	1633	3168	1661	1619	1731	3168	2251	C+S+H+Y+
LHA 46 Sunshine Coast	925	775	540	505	558	392	925	400	375	438	925	540	C+S+H+Y+
LHA 47 Powell River	892	435	333	341	416	309	892	309	296	333	892	333	C+S+H+Y+
LHA 48 Howe Sound	1172	1186	1263	953	997	782	1263	853	815	903	1172	1263	S+H+
LHA 49 Bella Coola Valley	113	71	69	69	74	60	113	50	37	63	113	69	C+S+H+Y+
LHA 83 Central Coast	66	68	46	49	52	40	68	49	43	58	66	46	S+H+Y+
Vancouver	66250	60890	62343	49406	47269	30281	66250	29283	28451	30442	66250	62343	S+H+
LHA 161 City Centre	43251	40095	39983	32443	29957	18191	43251	17151	16331	18208	43251	39983	S+H+
LHA 162 DTES	4022	3776	4273	3857	3625	2811	4273	2729	2518	3029	4022	4273	S+H+
LHA 163 North East	3673	3146	3328	2923	2708	1629	3673	1685	1600	1724	3673	3328	C+S+H+Y+
LHA 164 Westside	6080	5728	6140	4166	4546	3254	6140	3137	3069	3209	6080	6140	S+H+
LHA 165 Midtown	4722	3991	4111	3041	3361	2478	4722	2643	2479	2756	4722	4111	C+S+H+Y+
LHA 166 South	4502	4154	4508	2976	3073	1865	4508	1938	1799	2038	4502	4508	S+H+
Total	83476	71163	72167	57906	56795	37465	83476	36406	35556	37578	83476	72167	C+S+H+Y+

Source: Provincial Public Health Microbiology and Reference Laboratory (Misys Laboratory Database) & Providence Health Care Virology Laboratory. Prepared by: Vancouver Coastal Health, Public Health Surveillance Unit. October 23, 2014.







Mean Monthly HIV Lab Tests per 10,000 Population by LHA



How you want to be treated.











Figure 2. Number and Percent Positivity of HIV Lab Tests among VCH Residents





Source: Public Health Surveillance Unit (HIV Surveillance Data, Provincial Public Health Microbiology and Reference Laboratory (Misys Laboratory Database) & Providence Health Care Virology Laboratory Database.


Prepared by: Vancouver Coastal Health, Public Health Surveillance Unit. October 23, 2014.





Figure 3. Number and Percent Positivity of HIV Lab Tests among VCH Residents by HSDA





Note: Axis values are not equal across all graphs. Compare test volumes with caution.

Source: Public Health Surveillance Unit (HIV Surveillance Data, Provincial Public Health Microbiology and Reference Laboratory (Misys Laboratory Database) & Providence Health Care Virology Laboratory Database.

Prepared by: Vancouver Coastal Health, Public Health Surveillance Unit. October 23, 2014





Table 2. Monthly Average of HIV Lab Tests, Population Rate of HIV Lab Tests, HIV Positives and Percent Positivity among VCH Residents by HSDA/LHA



		HIV Lab Tests		HIV Lab T	ests per 10,000 po	oulation	Po	sitive HIV Lab Test	s		Percent Positivity	
Region	Stop Expansion (Apr 2013 to date)	STOP Pilot (July 2010- Mar 2013)	Historical (Jan 2008- Jun 2010)	Stop Expansion (Apr 2013 to date)	STOP Pilot (July 2010- Mar 2013)	Historical (Jan 2008- Jun 2010)	Stop Expansion (Apr 2013 to date)	STOP Pilot (July 2010- Mar 2013)	Historical (Jan 2008- Jun 2010)	Stop Expansion (Apr 2013 to date)	STOP Pilot (July 2010- Mar 2013)	Historical (Jan 2008- Jun 2010)
Richmond	679	503	425	33.9	25.3	22.1	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.1	0.1
Coastal Urban	407	452	434	20.6	23.0	22.9	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1
LHA 44 North Vancouver	404	436	394	28.0	30.4	28.6	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.1
LHA45 West Vancouver- Bowen Island	154	140	117	28.8	26.4	22.8	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0
Coastal Rural	671	594	565	72.1	64.5	64.0	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
LHA 46 Sunshine Coast	96	82	71	31.2	27.1	24.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1
LHA 47 Powell River	77	37	31	38.2	18.2	15.7	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.0
LHA 48 Howe Sound	220	131	94	58.4	35.3	27.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0
LHA 49 Bella Coola Valley	11	9	6	36.3	31.4	22.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
LHA 83 Central Coast	11	8	7	74.4	52.8	46.9	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0
/ancouver	3863	3344	2524	56.4	49.6	39.4	10.7	9.3	12.1	0.3	0.3	0.5
LHA 161 City Centre	1004	857	664	81.1	70.2	56.2	3.0	3.1	4.2	0.3	0.4	0.6
LHA 162 DTES	685	542	376	94.5	75.7	58.4	2.1	1.5	1.9	0.3	0.3	0.5
LHA 163 North East	442	410	327	40.6	38.3	31.4	0.5	0.8	0.7	0.1	0.2	0.2
LHA 164 Westside	638	568	437	45.3	40.9	32.9	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1
LHA 165 Midtown	536	478	362	53.7	49.3	41.3	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.2
LHA 166 South	552	487	356	39.7	35.4	26.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.1	0.1	0.2
Total*	5770	5017	4026	49.1	43.2	36.2	11.6	10.5	13.3	0.2	0.2	0.3

^{*}Total may not equal to sum of all LHAs due to missing assignment of LHA coding in original data.

Source: Public Health Surveillance Unit (HIV Surveillance Data), Provincial Public Health Microbiology and Reference Laboratory (Misys Laboratory Database) & Providence Health Care Virology Laboratory.





Table 3. Number of HIV Positives, Number of Lab Tests and Percent Positivity among **Vancouver** Residents by Gender and Age Group



Candan	A = 0	Current Half-Year	Previous	Half-Years	STOP HIV-AIDS	Historical Baseline	Year t	o Date
Gender	Age	Jan 2014-Jun 2014	Jul 2013-Dec 2013	Jan 2013-Jun 2013	Jul 2010-Jun 2014	Jan 2008-Jun 2010	2014	2013
Male	0-9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	10-19	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.33	0.16	0.00	0.97
	20-29	1.14	1.04	0.66	0.65	0.84	1.14	0.66
	30-39	0.81	0.30	0.99	0.74	1.16	0.81	0.99
	40-49	0.56	1.03	0.64	0.84	1.62	0.56	0.64
	50-59	0.48	0.35	0.34	0.51	0.88	0.48	0.34
	60+	0.16	0.07	0.12	0.17	0.44	0.16	0.12
	Subtotal*	0.54	0.48	0.49	0.57	1.05	0.54	0.49
Female	0-9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	10-19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.00
	20-29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.07	0.00	0.00
	30-39	0.03	0.00	0.05	0.04	0.08	0.03	0.05
	40-49	0.11	0.06	0.05	0.10	0.15	0.11	0.05
	50-59	0.08	0.00	0.16	0.04	0.44	0.08	0.16
	60+	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.12	0.05	0.00
	Subtotal*	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.10	0.04	0.04

*Subtotal may not equal to sum of all LHAs due to missing values in the original data.

Source: Public Health Surveillance Unit (HIV Surveillance Data), Provincial Public Health Microbiology and Reference Laboratory (Misys Laboratory Database) & Providence Health Care Virology Laboratory.





Table 4. Number of HIV Positives, Number of Lab Tests and Percent Positivity among <u>Rest of VCH</u> Residents by Gender and Age Group



Candan		Current Half-Year	Previous	Half-Years	STOP HIV-AIDS	Historical Baseline	Year t	o Date
Gender	Age	Jan 2014-Jun 2014	Jul 2013-Dec 2013	Jan 2013-Jun 2013	Jul 2010-Jun 2014	Jan 2008-Jun 2010	2014	2013
Male	0-9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	10-19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.13	0.00	0.00
	20-29	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.13	0.09	0.00	0.22
	30-39	0.09	0.12	0.00	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.00
	40-49	0.10	0.00	0.13	0.16	0.33	0.10	0.13
	50-59	0.09	0.55	0.00	0.22	0.18	0.09	0.00
	60+	0.05	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.21	0.05	0.11
	Subtotal*	0.06	0.14	0.09	0.13	0.15	0.06	0.09
Female	0-9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	10-19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	20-29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00
	30-39	0.05	0.00	0.06	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.06
	40-49	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.03	0.09	0.00	0.12
	50-59	0.10	0.00	0.19	0.05	0.07	0.10	0.19
	60+	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Subtotal*	0.03	0.00	0.06	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.06

^{*}Subtotal may not equal to sum of all LHAs due to missing values in the original data.


Source: Public Health Surveillance Unit (HIV Surveillance Data), Provincial Public Health Microbiology and Reference Laboratory (Misys Laboratory Database) & Providence Health Care Virology Laboratory.





Figure 4. Proportion of New HIV Positives by Gender and Year of Diagnosis and Males/Females Ratio by Year of Diagnosis





Rest of VCH 100% Proportion of New HIV Diagnoses 18 80% Ratio [X Males: 1 Female] 15 60% 12 9 40% 6 20% 3 0% 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Year of Diagnosis Female → M:F Ratio ■ Male

Source: Public Health Surveillance Unit (HIV Surveillance Data).





Table 5. Number and Proportion of HIV Positives By Gender, Exposure and Year of Diagnosis (Vancouver HSDA)



Candan	F.,,,,,,,,,,		Year of Diagnosis	
Gender	Exposure	2003-2005	2006-2009	2010-2014
Male	MSM	62.6	68.8	74.0
	MSM/IDU	5.6	4.1	2.6
	IDU	17.3	12.4	6.8
	Heterosexual	12.9	11.4	8.8
	Other*	1.4	1.7	0.5
	Unknown	0.2	1.7	7.3
Female	IDU	61.6	49.5	31.7
	Heterosexual	34.9	47.3	63.3
	Other*	3.5	2.2	3.3
	Unknown	0.0	1.1	1.7



Table 6. Number and Proportion of HIV Positives by Gender, Exposure and Year of Diagnosis (Rest of VCH)



Caralan	-		Year of Diagnosis	
Gender	Exposure	2003-2005	2006-2009	2010-2014
Male	MSM	53.2	60.5	61.8
	IDU	12.8	11.6	3.6
	Heterosexual	27.7	20.9	23.6
	Other*	4.3	7.0	1.8
	Unknown	2.1	0.0	9.1
Female	IDU	22.2	12.5	22.2
	Heterosexual	66.7	87.5	55.6
	Other*	11.1	0.0	22.2


^{*}Other includes blood/blood products, occupational, perinatal and other exposures Source: Public Health Surveillance Unit (HIV Surveillance Data). Prepared by: Vancouver Coastal Health, Public Health Surveillance Unit. October 23, 2014.





Figure 5. Number of Patients' CD4 Cell Count and Disease Stage at Diagnosis by Year of Diagnosis






Source: Public Health Surveillance Unit (HIV Surveillance Data) & BC CfE Drug Treatment Program Data. Prepared by: Vancouver Coastal Health, Public Health Surveillance Unit. October 23, 2014.



Figure 6. Proportion of Patients' CD4 Cell Count and Disease Stage at Diagnosis by Year of Diagnosis






Source: Public Health Surveillance Unit (HIV Surveillance Data) & BC CfE Drug Treatment Program Data. Prepared by: Vancouver Coastal Health, Public Health Surveillance Unit. October 23, 2014.





Figure 7. Proportion and Ratio of Patients Diagnosed with CD4 > 500/Acute Stage and CD4 < 200 by Year of Diagnosis





Source: Public Health Surveillance Unit (HIV Surveillance Data) & BC CfE Drug Treatment Program Data. Prepared by: Vancouver Coastal Health, Public Health Surveillance Unit. October 23, 2014.







Median CD4 Cell Count (cell/mm³) at Diagnosis for HIV Positive Individuals



Map 4. Historical half-year average (January 2008 – June 2010)





Map 5. Average since Stop initiative (July 2010 – June 2014)

Section 3. Public Health Management Indicators

Figures, Maps and Tables

INTERNAL REPORT - DO NOT DISTRIBUTE

Summary of Results – Public Health Management Indicators

Figure 8. Proportion of New Diagnoses with Records of Public Health Follow-up

Compared to the preceding half year, the proportion of new positives with a record of public health follow-up declined significantly from 89% (S2 2013) to 75% (S1 2014). It is important to note that a record of public health follow up is dependent on the health authority or agency initiating follow up and therefore minor fluctuations between periods is expected.

Figure 9. Total Number of Contacts Elicited, Contacts per HIV Case and Contacts Notified

Compared to the preceding period, there was an increase in the total number of contacts elicited from HIV index cases in the first half of 2014.

The proportion of all contacts that were known to be notified of their exposure to HIV year-to-date in 2014 was similar to the same proportion year-to-date in 2013.

Figure 10. Proportion of Known Contact and Average Number of Anonymous Contacts per Index Case

In the first half of 2014, the proportion of known contacts was similar to the previous period while a noticeable decrease was observed in the average number of anonymous contacts per index case.


Figure 11. Number of Contacts Notified and Tested for HIV and Percent Positivity due to Contact Tracing

The total number of contacts that were tested for HIV as a result of public health follow-up increased in the first half of 2014, compared to the preceding period. Three new positives were identified in the first half of 2014, yielding a percent positivity of 4% due to contact tracing among notified contacts tested for HIV.



Figure 8. Proportion of New Diagnoses with Records of Public Health Follow-up






Source: Enhanced HIV Contact Tracing Form.





Figure 9. Total Number of Contacts Elicited Number of Contacts Elicited per Case and Number of Contacts Notified






Source: Enhanced HIV Contact Tracing Form. Prepared by: Vancouver Coastal Health, Public Health Surveillance Unit. October 23, 2014





Figure 10. Proportion of Known Contacts and Average Number of Anonymous Contacts per Index Case






Source: Enhanced HIV Contact Tracing Form.





Figure 11. Number of Contacts Notified and Tested for HIV and Percent Positivity due to Contact Tracing





Source: Enhanced HIV Contact Tracing Form.



Section 4. Treatment Indicators

Figures, Maps and Tables

Summary of Results – Treatment Indicators

Table 7,8. Proportion of Patients Linked to Care within 30 Days by Gender, Exposure and Year of Diagnosis

Vancouver HSDA (Table 7):

The proportion of males with heterosexual exposure linked to care within 30 days of diagnosis substantially increased in 2010-2014 compared to previous years and compared to other exposure groups. In the period of 2010-2014, time to linkage to care was longest among males with MSM/IDU exposure, although the median days to linkage decreased in this group compared to previous years.

The proportion of females with IDU exposure linked to care within 30 days increased in 2010-2014 compared to previous years. The time to linkage to care was substantially shorter among the females with IDU exposure compared to females with heterosexual exposure.

Rest of VCH (Table 8):

The proportion of males with heterosexual exposure linked to care within 30 days of diagnosis substantially increased in 2010-2014 compared to previous years. While there was a slight increase in time to linkage among those with heterosexual exposure, a significant decline was observed in median days to linkage to care among males with MSM exposure.

The proportion of females linked to care within 30 days increased in 2010-2014 compared to previous years. However, not enough cases were available to detect a trend.

In general, linkage to care times in the rest of VCH are greater than median times to linkage in Vancouver across all exposure groups.

Table 9,10. Proportion of Patients Linked to Care within 30 Days by Gender, Age Group and Year of Diagnosis

Vancouver HSDA (Table 9):

The proportion of males across all age groups linked to care within 30 days of diagnosis substantially increased in 2010-2014 compared to previous years. The proportion of patients linked to care also increased with age. The lowest proportion was observed among individuals aged 15-29 years. Males aged 40-59 years had the fastest median days to linkage with 7 days, while males aged 60 years or older at diagnosis had the slowest median days to linkage with 10 days.

The proportion of females aged 15-29 years, 30-39 years and 50-59 years linked to care within 30 days increased in 2010-2014 compared to previous years. However time to linkage to care was noticeably longer among the 15-29 age group and the 50-59 age group.

Rest of VCH (Table 10):

The proportion of males in all age groups linked to care within 30 days of diagnosis substantially increased in 2010-2014 compared to previous years. All age groups among males in the rest of VCH took longer to link with care in comparison with Vancouver Residents.

There are not enough cases to classify by age group for females. But overall, an increase in the proportion of patients linked to care within 30 days after diagnosis was observed.

Table 11,12. Proportion of Patients Currently Retained in Care by Gender, Exposure and Year of Care

Vancouver HSDA (Table 11)

Proportionally more males with heterosexual exposure were currently retained in care compared to other risk groups. Males with IDU exposure were least likely to be retained in care compared to other exposure groups. Compared to 2009 and earlier, a slight increase in the proportion of patients currently retained in care was observed among patients with exposure identified as MSM/IDU, IDU and heterosexual.

In 2014, females with heterosexual exposure were more likely to be retained in care than those with IDU exposure. No noticeable changes were observed among females with IDU exposure, compared to the previous two years.

Rest of VCH (Table 12)

In 2014, more males with MSM exposure were currently retained in care compared to other risk groups.

The proportion of female patients in 2014 retained in care with heterosexual exposure did not change compared to 2013.

Table 13,14. Proportion of Patients Currently Prescribed ARVs by Gender, Exposure and Year of Care

Vancouver HSDA (Table 13)

In the first half year of 2014, the exposure category with the greatest proportion of individuals currently prescribed ARV was among heterosexuals (75%) followed by IDU (71%). Compared to previous years, the proportion of males in all exposure categories, except those with unknown exposure, who were prescribed ARVs, has consistently increased since 2003.

The proportion of females with IDU exposure who were prescribed ARVs continued to increase through 2014.

Rest of VCH (Table 14)

Males with IDU exposure had the greatest proportion of individuals who were prescribed ARVs in 2014. Since 2003, the proportion of males who were prescribed ARVs has increased among those with MSM, IDU or heterosexual exposures.

Since 2004, the proportion of females who were prescribed ARVs increased from 29% to 68% in 2014.

Overall, there was an increasing trend in the proportion of males and females currently prescribed ARVs.

Table 15, 16. Mean Monitored Viral Load and Proportion of HIV Positive Individuals Not Fully Suppressed with Viral Load > 200 Copies/mL

Vancouver HSDA (Table 15)

The table shows a steady decline in the mean monitored viral load (copies/ml) since 2008. This corresponds with a steady increase in the proportion of HIV positive individuals with a prescription for antiretroviral therapy. The inverse relationship was observed for decline in both the proportion and absolute number of individuals with a viral load > 200 copies/ml since 2008.

Rest of VCH (Table 16)

Similar to Vancouver HSDA, the same trends were observed in the rest of VCH with increasing individuals on ARVs and decreasing monitored viral load since 2008.

INTERNAL REPORT - DO NOT DISTRIBUTE

Figure 12. Mean Monitored Viral Load (copies/ml) and Proportion of HIV Positive Individuals not Fully Suppressed with Viral Load > 200 Copies/mL

From 2008 to 2014, the mean monitored viral load (copies/ml) has steadily declined in both Vancouver HSDA and the rest of VCH. This trend was similarly observed in the proportion of those with unsuppressed viral loads. The mean monitored viral load was below detectable levels (<200 copies/ml) since the initiation of STOP HIV/AIDS.

Maps 6, 7. Mean Monitored viral load (copies/ml) by LHAs

From July 1, 2010 to 2014, the mean monitored viral load across all LHAs decreased to less than 200 copies/mL. There were noticeable changes for Richmond, Howe Sound, Sunshine Coast, Vancouver City Centre, Vancouver DTES, Vancouver Midtown, and Vancouver South which all saw average reductions in mean monitored viral load of 65% or more since prior to the STOP HIV/AIDS pilot project.



Table 7. Number and Proportion of Patients
Linked to Care within 30 Days of Diagnosis by
Gender, Exposure and Year of Diagnosis
(Vancouver HSDA)



				Year of I	Diagnosis			
Gender	Evenouse	2003	-2005	2006	-2009	2010-2014		
Gender	Exposure	Linked to Care	Median Days to Linkage	Linked to Care	Median Days to Linkage	Linked to Care	Median Days to Linkage	
Male	MSM	57.2	14	63.9	12	73.2	9	
	MSM/IDU	60.7	16	60.0	17	64.3	10	
	IDU	43.0	13	61.3	12.5	72.2	6.5	
	Heterosexual	60.9	13	71.0	9	94.1	7	
	Other*	57.1	18.5	40.0	13	_	_	
	Unknown	_	_	20.0	1	73.3	7	
	SubTotal	55.3	14	63.1	12	74.7	8	
Female	IDU	30.2	18.5	42.2	13	64.7	2	
	Heterosexual	53.3	13	86.0	12	77.8	9	
	Other*	_	_	_	_	_	_	
	Unknown	_	_	_	_	_	_	
	SubTotal	39.5	14	63.7	12.5	74.5	9	

Table 8. Number and Proportion of Patients Linked to Care within 30 Days of Diagnosis by Gender, Exposure and Year of Diagnosis

(rest of VCH)

					Diagnosis			
Gender	Evenouse	2003	-2005	2006	-2009	2010-2014		
Gender	Exposure	Linked to Care	Median Days to Linkage	Linked to Care	Median Days to Linkage	Linked to Care	Median Days to Linkage	
Male	MSM	64.0	20	46.2	13.5	78.8	11.5	
	IDU	33.3	9.5	40.0	13	_	_	
	Heterosexual	53.8	13	55.6	14	80.0	16	
	Other*	_	_	_	_	_	_	
	Unknown	_	_	_	_	60.0	0	
	SubTotal	55.3	15	51.2	14	78.4	12.5	
Female	IDU	-	_	_	_	_	_	
	Heterosexual	66.7	22.5	85.7	12.5	_	_	
	Other*	_	_	_	_	_	_	
	SubTotal	66.7	22.5	75.0	12.5	85.7	16	

*Other includes blood/blood products, occupational, perinatal and other exposures

Source: Public Health Surveillance Unit (HIV Surveillance Data).





Table 9. Number and Proportion of Patients
Linked to Care within 30 Days of Diagnosis by
Gender, Age Group and Year of Diagnosis
(Vancouver HSDA)



How you want to be treated.

				Year of I	Diagnosis		
Candan	A == C====	2003	-2005	2006	-2009	2010	-2014
Gender	Age Group	Linked to Care	Median Days to Linkage	Linked to Care	Median Days to Linkage	Linked to Care	Median Days to Linkage
Male	15-29	43.0	19.0	55.4	13.0	65.9	9.0
	30-39	56.3	14.0	60.1	13.0	68.2	9.0
	40-49	53.8	13.5	64.4	11.0	83.0	7.0
	50-59	64.1	12.0	75.7	12.0	80.6	7.0
	60+	74.1	13.0	83.3	8.0	100.0	10.0
	SubTotal	55.3	14.0	63.1	12.0	74.7	8.0
Female	15-29	38.5	11.0	40.6	11.0	66.7	13.0
	30-39	56.5	18.0	72.4	14.0	73.3	1.0
	40-49	25.9	15.0	78.6	19.0	73.3	7.0
	50-59	40.0	21.0	77.8	7.0	100.0	12.5
	60+			80.0	6.5		
	SubTotal	38.8	14.0	62.9	12.5	74.5	9.0

Table 10. Number and Proportion of Patients Linked to Care within 30 Days of Diagnosis by Gender, Age Group and Year of Diagnosis (rest of VCH)

		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Year of I	Diagnosis		
Gender	Aga Craun	2003	-2005	2006	-2009	2010	-2014
Gender	Age Group	Linked to Care	Median Days to Linkage	Linked to Care	Median Days to Linkage	Linked to Care	Median Days to Linkage
Male	15-29	57.1	25.0	50.0	14.0	71.4	14.5
	30-39	40.0	10.5	55.6	16.0	66.7	8.5
	40-49	65.0	13.0	41.2	12.0	83.3	13.5
	50-59	40.0	22.0	42.9	13.0	85.7	12.5
	60+	60.0	22.0	_	_	80.0	11.0
	SubTotal	55.3	15.0	51.2	14.0	78.4	12.5
Female	15-29	=	-	-	-	=	=
	30-39	=	-	-	-	=	=
	40-49	=	-	-	-	=	=
	50-59	-	-	-	-	-	-
	60+	=	-	-	-	=	=
	SubTotal	62.5	22.0	75.0	12.5	85.7	16.0

^{*}Other includes blood/blood products, occupational, perinatal and other exposures

Source: Public Health Surveillance Unit (HIV Surveillance Data).



^{*}Individual Aged less than 14 was excluded from the table



Table 11. Number and Proportion of Patients Currently Retained in Care by Gender, Exposure and Year of Care (*Vancouver HSDA*)



Candan	F						Year of Ca	are					
Gender	Exposure	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Male	MSM	92.3	86.4	83.2	81.0	82.4	81.2	79.9	82.2	81.9	82.5	81.6	80.5
	MSM/IDU	_	61.5	80.0	76.5	75.0	78.6	81.8	86.7	85.7	82.7	87.0	81.5
	IDU	69.2	72.1	70.1	62.4	71.8	76.1	77.1	76.1	78.0	78.6	76.4	76.0
	Heterosexual	100.0	66.7	78.4	72.1	76.6	78.7	78.8	83.0	84.3	83.5	82.9	82.4
	Other*	_	_	80.0	87.5	66.7	44.4	45.5	61.5	69.2	66.7	73.3	80.0
	Unknown	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	71.4	71.4	71.4	77.8	96.9
	Subtotal	90.1	78.6	79.9	76.6	79.3	79.6	78.9	81.3	81.6	81.8	81.2	80.6
Female	IDU	66.7	66.7	55.3	57.7	56.1	60.8	68.8	73.4	79.3	80.7	79.8	81.2
	Heterosexual	83.3	64.3	85.0	78.6	80.0	84.3	79.3	78.3	79.0	90.0	84.0	83.9
	Other*	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	80.0
	Subtotal	75.0	67.6	66.7	64.6	65.7	70.9	74.1	76.5	79.8	86.0	82.5	82.6

Table 12. Number and Proportion of Patients Currently Retained in Care by Gender, Exposure and Year of Care (*rest of VCH*)

C	F						Year of Ca	ire					
Gender	Exposure	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Male	MSM	_	84.6	72.7	85.2	79.4	82.5	83.3	86.0	81.0	84.3	83.3	84.9
	MSM/IDU	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
	IDU	_	_	80.0	50.0	50.0	63.6	80.0	80.0	60.0	75.0	83.3	75.0
	Heterosexual	_	100.0	77.8	80.0	66.7	91.7	93.8	83.3	85.7	68.2	87.0	83.3
	Other*	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
	Unknown	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	100.0
	Subtotal	100.0	86.4	75.7	79.5	71.7	81.3	85.5	85.0	80.2	80.2	84.8	84.5
Female	IDU	_	_	60.0	66.7	66.7	90.0	84.6	92.9	78.6	78.6	81.3	81.3
	Heterosexual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
	Other*	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
	Subtotal	_	60.0	62.5	66.7	72.7	91.7	87.5	89.5	84.2	84.2	87.0	82.6

^{*}Other includes blood/blood products, occupational, perinatal and other exposures.

Source: Public Health Surveillance Unit (HIV Surveillance Data) & BCCfE Drug Treatment Program Data.





Table 13. Number and Proportion of Patients Currently Prescribed ARVs by Gender, Exposure and Year of Care (*Vancouver HSDA*)



How you want to be treated.

Candan	F						Year of Ca	are					
Gender	Exposure	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Male	MSM	17.9	22.7	28.3	30.7	37.0	42.6	50.6	57.9	62.6	65.9	60.7	67.6
	MSM/IDU	14.3	11.1	17.9	28.2	33.3	45.5	53.1	66.7	70.2	71.2	74.2	69.4
	IDU	5.6	19.4	22.7	33.0	37.4	43.9	50.3	55.8	62.2	66.5	62.8	70.9
	Heterosexual	32.3	34.0	38.1	45.1	50.5	58.8	60.2	63.3	69.8	71.2	71.3	74.7
	Other*	_	_	40.0	45.5	53.8	43.8	50.0	54.5	58.3	56.0	68.0	64.0
	Unknown	_	50.0	54.5	56.3	56.5	46.2	47.2	58.5	57.3	55.7	36.9	58.4
	Subtotal	18.4	23.4	28.7	33.5	39.3	45.0	51.6	58.6	63.3	66.0	60.5	67.9
Female	IDU	0.0	8.6	9.1	10.1	18.8	25.3	33.7	43.9	58.8	62.6	61.8	66.7
	Heterosexual	20.0	12.5	19.4	25.6	29.3	42.4	46.1	52.3	62.0	66.4	72.8	71.9
	Other*	_	_	_	_	_	83.3	75.0	77.8	77.8	88.9	88.9	88.9
	Unknown	_	_	_	_	_	60.0	60.0	33.3	50.0	55.6	46.2	76.9
	Subtotal	11.1	11.1	13.3	16.4	24.2	35.1	41.4	48.7	60.7	65.2	67.2	70.6

Table 14. Number and Proportion of Patients Currently Prescribed ARVs by Gender, Exposure and Year of Care (rest of VCH)

			Gena	er, Exposur	c unu i cui	of Care to	est of veri						
Gender	Evnocuro						Year of Ca	ire					
Gender	Exposure	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Male	MSM	50.0	27.8	34.6	37.5	46.5	52.1	62.3	61.5	68.0	75.6	72.1	79.1
	MSM/IDU	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
	IDU	_	_	33.3	28.6	27.3	63.6	90.9	81.8	91.7	92.3	92.3	84.6
	Heterosexual	_	12.5	18.2	45.5	41.7	62.5	73.7	69.6	68.0	72.0	65.5	75.9
	Other*	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
	Unknown	_	_	_	_	_	33.3	62.5	57.1	62.5	70.0	53.3	73.3
	Subtotal	35.3	27.3	29.2	38.2	41.4	53.7	67.4	64.5	68.9	75.2	70.1	77.6
Female	IDU	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	60.0	60.0	100.0	100.0
	Heterosexual	_	20.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	63.6	46.2	64.3	75.0	70.6	65.0	70.0
	Other*	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
	Unknown	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
	Subtotal	_	28.6	44.4	45.5	46.2	66.7	50.0	61.9	70.8	65.4	64.5	67.7

*Other includes blood/blood products, occupational, perinatal and other exposures

Source: Public Health Surveillance Unit (HIV Surveillance Data) & BCCfE Drug Treatment Program Data.





Table 15. Mean Monitored Viral Load and Proportion of HIV Positive Individuals Not Fully Suppressed with Viral Load > 200 Copies/mL (*Vancouver HSDA*)



		1	′СН53		VCH54	
Year	Half years	Mean Monitored vL (copies/mL)	Proportion on ARVs (%)	Proportion of all HIV positive individuals with viral load (>200 copies/mL)	Numerator/Denominator of VCH54	Proportion on ARVs (%)
2008	Jan-Jun	801	56	59	(383/647)	29
2008	July-Dec	593	61	53	(369/692)	31
3000	Jan-Jun	373	67	49	(366/741)	35
2009	July-Dec	301	70	43	(339/783)	35
2010	Jan-Jun	242	76	39	(327/828)	43
2010	July-Dec	206	77	37	(331/897)	43
2011	Jan-Jun	142	84	31	(282/921)	51
2011	July-Dec	124	87	30	(299/997)	59
2012	Jan-Jun	105	90	25	(257/1040)	63
	July-Dec	94	91	23	(244/1083)	64
2013	Jan-Jun	88	92	21	(244/1136)	65
	July-Dec	75	93	19	(225/1156)	70
2014	Jan-Jun	72	94	18	(218/1209)	71

Source: Public Health Surveillance Unit (HIV Surveillance Data) & BCCfE Drug Treatment Program Data. Prepared by: Vancouver Coastal Health, Public Health Surveillance Unit. October 23, 2014.



Table 16. Mean Monitored Viral Load and Proportion of HIV Positive Individuals Not Fully Suppressed with Viral Load > 200 Copies/mL (rest of VCH)


		١	/CH53		VCH54	
Year	Half years	Mean Monitored vL (copies/mL)	Proportion on ARVs (%)	Proportion of all HIV positive individuals with viral load (>200 copies/mL)	Numerator/Denominator of VCH54	Proportion on ARVs (%)
2000	Jan-Jun	752	60	58	(26/44)	31
2008	July-Dec	423	67	47	(22/50)	37
2000	Jan-Jun	304	74	45	(30/61)	50
2009	July-Dec	187	79	41	(27/65)	55
2010	Jan-Jun	140	80	34	(25/68)	50
2010	July-Dec	141	79	31	(28/81)	48
2011	Jan-Jun	114	84	32	(26/80)	57
2011	July-Dec	124	81	35	(32/93)	56
2012	Jan-Jun	117	87	31	(31/102)	65
	July-Dec	73	93	20	(22/110)	75
2013	Jan-Jun	76	93	21	(24/113)	73
	July-Dec	68	95	18	(20/115)	82
2014	Jan-Jun	52	96	12	(24/113)	88

Source: Public Health Surveillance Unit (HIV Surveillance Data) & BCCfE Drug Treatment Program Data. Prepared by: Vancouver Coastal Health, Public Health Surveillance Unit. October 23, 2014.



Figure 12. Mean Monitored Viral Load (vL) and
Proportion of HIV Positive Individuals Not Fully Suppressed
with Viral Load > 200 Copies/mL





Source: Public Health Surveillance Unit (HIV Surveillance Data) & BCCfE Drug Treatment Program Data. Prepared by: Vancouver Coastal Health, Public Health Surveillance Unit. October 23, 2014.






Mean Monitored Viral Load (copies/ml) by Local Health Area




Map 6. Historical Average (January 2008 – June 2010)

.

Map 7. Average since Stop Initiative (July 2010 – June 2014)







Testing Indicators

VCH 1. Number of Point of Care Tests

Total number of Point of Care HIV tests administered by all sites engaged in STOP HIV/AIDS memorandums of understanding (in a given time period).

Rationale: Point-of-Care testing allows rapid on-site assessment of potentially HIV positive individuals, it improves access to care which may lead to increased case-finding, and reduced number of individuals who are unaware of their HIV status.

Data Source: HIV Point-of-Care (POC) Data

VCH4a, Number of New Positive POC Tests

Number of unique individuals who have had a positive POC HIV test in a given time period.

Rationale: Assessment of this indicator provides a measure of the success of testing initiatives and activities under the STOP HIV/AIDS Pilot Project.

Additional Selection Criteria:

• Previous positives are excluded.

Data Source: HIV Point-of-Care (POC) Data

VCH8a. Overall number of HIV lab tests (either from VCH residents or those who tested at a VCH clinic)

Total number of HIV lab tests, either from VCH residents or a VCH clinic including non-VCH residents who test in VCH.

Rationale: A primary objective of the STOP HIV/AIDS project is to increase testing across VCH Region. Assessing this indicator over time will provide a picture of how STOP HIV/AIDS is influencing HIV testing among clinical practices.

Data Source: HIV Laboratory Testing Data

VCH8b: Number of HIV lab tests from all clinics in VCH and HSDAs

Total number of HIV lab tests ordered from a clinic in VCH and HSDAs.

Rationale: A primary objective of the STOP HIV/AIDS project is to increase testing across VCH Region. Assessing this indicator over time will provide a picture of how STOP HIV/AIDS is influencing HIV testing among clinical practices.

Additional Selection Criteria:

• HIV lab testing records without a known clinic address are excluded.

Data Source: HIV Laboratory Testing Data

VCH11a: Number of HIV lab tests from residents of VCH and HSDAs

Total number of HIV lab tests ordered from residents of Vancouver Coastal Health Authority.

Rationale: Often people from outside the Health Authority will visit VCH to undergo HIV testing, this indicator is a measure of the testing volumes among residents of this Health Authority.

Additional Selection Criteria:

• HIV lab testing records without available residency information are included.

Data Source: HIV Laboratory Testing Data

VCH11d: Number of HIV lab tests from non-residents of VCH who tested in VCH.

Total number of HIV lab tests ordered from non-residents of Vancouver Coastal Health Authority.

Rationale: Often people from outside the Health Authority will visit VCH to undergo HIV testing, this indicator will measure this trend.

Additional Selection Criteria:

• HIV lab testing records without available residency information are excluded.

Data Source: HIV Laboratory Testing Data

VCH13a. Number of positive HIV diagnoses for residents of VCH and HSDAs

Total number of new unique HIV positive diagnoses within VCH as a whole and each HSDA.

Rationale: Increased case finding to reduce the number of individuals who are unaware of their HIV positive status is a primary objective of the STOP HIV/AIDS pilot project. This indicator is a direct measure of the success of the project.

Additional Selection Criteria:

- Individuals who may have been previously HIV positive are excluded.
- Individuals who tested in VCH without residency information are included.

Data Source: PHSU Reportable HIV Surveillance Data

VCH14a. Percent positivity of residents of VCH and HSDAs

The proportion of positive individuals diagnosed per HIV lab test administered for VCH residents.

Rationale: Increased case finding to reduce the number of individuals who are unaware of their HIV positive status is a primary objective of the STOP HIV/AIDS pilot project. This indicator will measure if STOP HIV/AIDS is indeed finding these individuals.

Additional Selection Criteria:

- Individuals who may have been previously HIV positive are excluded.
- Individuals who tested in VCH without residency information are included.

Data Source: PHSU Reportable HIV Surveillance Data and HIV Laboratory Testing Data

VCH45a. Proportion of HIV patients with CD4 count > 500 cells/mL or acute stage at time of diagnosis

Proportion of individuals diagnosed HIV positive in a given time period who have a CD4 cell count of >500 cells/mL or acute stage at the time of diagnosis.

Rationale: Diagnosing individuals earlier on in the course of disease enables them to get on treatment earlier, improves patient quality of life and reduce the transmission in the community.

Analytical Definition

- Numerator: A subset of the denominator with a first CD4 cell count at diagnosis is >500 cells/mL or in acute stage.
- Denominator: All new HIV positive cases diagnosed in a given time period with a CD4 test on record.

Additional Selection Criteria:

- The CD4 count at time of diagnosis is selected from the testing which was closest to the diagnosis date. And testing should be within 1 year after diagnosis.
- CD4 testing records with either missing dates or missing values are excluded from this analysis.

Data Source: PHSU Reportable HIV Surveillance Data and BCCfE Drug Treatment Data.

VCH45b. Proportion of HIV patients with CD4 count < 200 cells/mL at diagnosis

Proportion of individuals diagnosed HIV positive in a given time period who have a CD4 cell count <200 cells/mL at the time of diagnosis.

Rationale: Finding HIV positive individuals before late stage is an important objective of the STOP HIV/AIDS program. Diagnosing individuals earlier on in the course of disease enables them to get on treatment earlier, and improves patient quality of life. It is also an indication that we are reducing the number of individuals in the population who are unaware of their HIV infection.

- Numerator: A subset of the denominator with a first CD4 cell count < 200 cell/mL at diagnosis and not at acute stage at time of diagnosis.
- Denominator: All new HIV positive cases diagnosed in a given time period with a CD4 test on record.

Additional Selection Criteria:

- The CD4 count at time of diagnosis is selected from the value on the testing date which was closest to the diagnosis. The test should also be within 1 year after diagnosis.
- CD4 testing records with either missing dates or missing values are excluded from this analysis.

Data Source: PHSU Reportable HIV Surveillance Data and BCCfE Drug Treatment Data.

Public Health Management Indicators

VCH16. Proportion of new positives with record of public health follow-up

The proportion of unique new positives who have documentation of public health follow-up

Rationale: Contact tracing aims to reduce transmission of HIV, and is also effective in reducing the incidence of HIV in the population. This is an important public health strategy to reach individuals who may not be aware of their HIV status. Initiating contact tracing is to elicit partner information from an index case is a critical first step and will be important to track STOP HIV/AIDS success.

Data Source: PHSU Reportable HIV Surveillance Data and Enhanced HIV Contact Tracing Form

VCH17. Number of contacts elicited

Total number of unique contacts elicited from HIV positive clients in a given time period.

Rationale: see VCH16.

Data Source: PHSU Reportable HIV Surveillance Data and Enhanced HIV Contact Tracing Form

VCH17a. Average number of contacts elicited per HIV positive case

Average number of unique contacts elicited per HIV positive client in a given time period.

Rationale: see VCH16

Analytical Definition

- Numerator: Total number of unique contacts elicited in a given time period (VCH17)
- Denominator: Total number of unique HIV index cases of all contacts elicited in the given time period.

Data Source: PHSU Reportable HIV Surveillance Data and Enhanced HIV Contact Tracing Form

VCH19. Proportion of contacts notified

Proportion of unique contacts notified of their potential exposure to HIV in a given time period.

Rationale: Managing infection in people with more than one current sexual partner will have a significant impact on the spread of HIV, thus assessing the success of partner notification practice will be an important measure. Moreover, evidence suggests that the method of partner notification is associated with the rate of partners presenting for medical evaluation.

Analytical Definition

- Numerator: Total number of unique contacts notified in a given time period.
- Denominator: Total number of unique contacts elicited from HIV positive clients in a given time period.

Data Source: PHSU Reportable HIV Surveillance Data and Enhanced HIV Contact Tracing Form

VCH23a. Proportion of notified contacts tested for HIV

Proportion of Contacts tested for HIV in a given time period.

Rationale: The goal of contact tracing is to inform contacts of their risk of infection, encourage contacts to be tested for HIV and identify individuals who are HIV positive. This indicator will be an important measure of the success of the enhanced public health efforts under STOP HIV/AIDS pilot project.

Analytical Definition

- Numerator: Number of contacts tested for HIV in a given time period.
- Denominator: Number of contacts notified of their potential exposure to HIV.

Additional Selection Criteria:

• Contacts known to be previously positive are excluded.

Data Source: PHSU Reportable HIV Surveillance Data and Enhanced HIV Contact Tracing Form

VCH23b. Number of notified contacts who tested HIV positive

Number of contacts who tested HIV positive in a given time period.

Rationale: A second measure of case-finding and contact tracing success is identifying individuals who are HIV positive.

Additional Selection Criteria:

• Contacts known to be previously positive are excluded.

Data Source: PHSU Reportable HIV Surveillance Data and Enhanced HIV Contact Tracing Form

VCH23c. Percent positivity (%) due to Contact Tracing

The proportion of positive contacts diagnosed per contact tested for HIV.

Rationale: A second measure of case-finding and contact tracing success is identifying individuals who are HIV positive, and the yield of positive cases from these efforts.

Analytical Definition

- Numerator: Number of unique contacts tested for HIV who tested positive
- Denominator: Proportion of contacts tested for HIV in a given time period

Additional Selection Criteria:

• Exclude contacts known to be previously positive.

Data Source: PHSU Reportable HIV Surveillance Data and Enhanced HIV Contact Tracing Form

VCH24. Proportion of notified contacts who were known to be previously positive

Proportion of contacts who were previously positive in a given time period.

Rationale: Identifying partners in the latent period of infection may identify those from whom infection was acquired, but overall this indicator will provide a picture of the HIV population in VCHA.

Analytical Definition

- Numerator: Number of contacts known/determined to be previously positive in a given time period.
- Denominator: Proportion of unique contacts notified of their potential exposure to HIV in a given time period.

Data Source: PHSU Reportable HIV Surveillance Data and Enhanced HIV Contact Tracing Form

Treatment Indicators

VCH41. Proportion of new diagnoses linked to care within 30 days of diagnosis

Proportion of individuals with an HIV positive test in a given time period, who have at least a HIV viral load (vL) or a CD4 test on record within 30 days of diagnosis.

Rationale: It is vital that linkage to HIV-care occur as soon after diagnosis as possible so that a clinical evaluation can be conducted, eligibility for ARV therapy and linkage to other services can be established to minimize the risk of transmission. Standard care for persons with HIV includes regular clinical and laboratory assessment. As part of enhanced HIV guidelines, new HIV diagnoses should receive a vL test or a CD4 test within 30 days of diagnosis.

- Numerator: A subset of the denominator having a CD4 or a vL test within 30 days of diagnosis.
- Denominator: Total number of new HIV positive cases diagnosed in a given time period.

Additional Selection Criteria:

- CD4 and/or vL testing records that occurred prior to diagnosis date are excluded from this analysis.
- Individuals deceased by the end of the half year are excluded.
- CD4 or viral load testing records with either missing dates or missing values are excluded from this analysis.

Data Source: PHSU Reportable HIV Surveillance Data and BCCfE Drug Treatment Data.

VCH44b. Time to linkage to HIV care among those newly diagnosed with HIV (median days).

The interval between first HIV positive test and first HIV CD4 or viral load, among all individuals diagnosed in the given time period.

Rationale: It is vital that linkage to HIV-care occur as soon after diagnosis as possible so that a clinical evaluation can be conducted, eligibility for ARV therapy and linkage to other services can be established to minimize the risk of transmission. Standard care for persons with HIV includes regular clinical and laboratory assessment. As part of enhanced HIV guidelines, new HIV diagnoses should receive a vL test or a CD4 test within 30 days of diagnosis. This indicator provides a picture of how quickly HIV positive individuals are in fact receiving the appropriate care.

Additional Selection Criteria:

- CD4 or viral load testing records that occurred prior to diagnosis date are excluded from this analysis.
- Individuals deceased by the end of the half year are excluded.
- CD4 or viral load testing records with either missing dates or missing values are excluded from this analysis.

Data Source: PHSU Reportable HIV Surveillance Data and BCCfE Drug Treatment Data.

VCH46a. Proportion of HIV patients currently retained in care

Proportion of HIV positive individuals in the database who have had the recommended number of vL tests in a given time period.

Rationale: Because HIV disease progression is highly variable and can occur rapidly, and treatment requires constant evaluation for efficacy and safety, HIV patients need to be closely monitored on a regular basis. Standard practice requires a minimum of 3 visits/laboratory assignments annually.

- Numerator: Total number of newly diagnosis cases who having at least 2 vL or CD4 test and with at least 3 month apart in a year, or at least 1 vL or CD4 test for those diagnosed less than 1 year.
- Denominator: Total number of newly diagnosis in the dataset.
- Year to Date: take the value from the current half-year and previous half-year.

Additional Selection Criteria:

- This cohort will retrospectively select back over the past 12 months.
- Only individuals linked with BCCfE Drug Treatment Data are included.
- Individuals without any CD4 or vL test since diagnosis are excluded.
- Individuals diagnosed less than half year for a given time period are excluded.
- Individuals deceased before the end of a given time period are excluded.
- CD4 and Viral load testing records that occurred prior to diagnosis date are excluded from this analysis.
- CD4 and Viral load testing records with either missing dates or missing values are excluded from this analysis.

Data Source: PHSU Reportable HIV Surveillance Data and BCCfE Drug Treatment Data.

VCH47b. Proportion of matched HIV patients not found in care

Proportion of all known HIV positive individuals in the population who have been diagnosed for at least 9 months, and have not had a vL test within the past 9 months.

Rationale: Due to the need for long-term monitoring of both disease progression and the efficacy of any therapy, as well as the status of comorbid conditions or lifestyle factors, long-term retention in HIV-related care is imperative to minimize the risk of HIV-related morbidity and mortality.

Analytical Definition

- Numerator: Number of HIV patients in the denominator who have not had a vL for at least 9 months
- Denominator: All individuals in the dataset who have been HIV positive for at least 9 months.
- Year to Date: take the value from the current half-year and previous half-year.

Additional Selection Criteria:

- Only individual linked with BCCfE Drug Treatment Data are included.
- Individuals diagnosed less than 9 months from the end of a given time period are excluded.
- Individuals deceased before by the end of a given time period are excluded.

Data Source: PHSU Reportable HIV Surveillance Data and BCCfE Drug Treatment Data.

VCH48. Proportion of patients who are currently prescribed ARVs

Total alive HIV positive individuals who have a prescription for ARVs in a given time period.

Rationale: A primary objective of the STOP HIV/AIDS program is to ensure that more HIV positive individuals are actively engaged in care and on antiretroviral therapy.

- Numerator: Total number of individuals who have a prescription for ARVs in the last two months of the given time period.
- Denominator: Total alive HIV positive individuals in a given time period.

• Year to Date: take the value from the current half-year and previous half-year.

Additional Selection Criteria:

• Individuals deceased by the end of a given time period are excluded.

Data Source: PHSU Reportable HIV Surveillance Data and BCCfE Drug Treatment Data.

VCH49. Proportion of patients who have discontinued and currently not restarted ARVs

Proportion of alive HIV positive individuals who have not had a prescription for ARVs for at least 2 consecutive months, and not resumed it in the coming month.

Rationale: Not only is it important to ensure that more HIV positive individuals are actively engaged and retained on ARV treatment, it is equally critical for STOP HIV/AIDS pilot project success to reduce the barriers to optimal treatment adherence and the number of people who discontinue ARVs.

Analytical Definition

- Numerator: Number of individuals who have not had a prescription for ARVs for at least 2 consecutive months AND have not resumed taking ARVs during the given time period.
- Denominator: All alive HIV positive individuals who have ever been on ARV treatment.
- Year to Date: take the value from the current half-year and previous half-year.

Additional Selection Criteria:

- The last four month ARV value will be considered in a given time period.
- Records with ARV prescription prior to HIV diagnosis are excluded.
- Individuals deceased by the end of the given time period are excluded.

Data Source: PHSU Reportable HIV Surveillance Data and BCCfE Drug Treatment Data.

VCH51. Proportion of individuals newly taking ARVs who achieve viral suppression within 9 months since treatment starts

Proportion of individuals who are taking ARVs for the first time and who achieve viral suppression within 9 months.

Rationale: Adherence to treatment protocols is essential to reducing the morbidity and mortality associated with HIV and AIDS. The majority of individuals who adhere to ARV treatment protocols achieve virologic suppression, and thus measuring this status serves as a proxy measurement for treatment adherence.

Analytical Definition

- Numerator: A subset of the denominator with having two consecutive viral load record of <200 copies per/mL both taken after therapy start and at least one of which is taken within the first nine months of therapy
- Denominator: All HIV positive individuals initiating first ever ARV treatment in a given time period and who have at least two viral load tests on record.
- Year to Date: take the value from the current half-year and previous half-year.

Additional Selection Criteria:

• This cohort will retrospectively select back over the past 12 months.

- Individuals deceased by the end of the given time period are excluded.
- Individuals with first initiative ARV prescription prior to HIV diagnosis are excluded.
- Viral load testing records that occurred prior to diagnosis date are excluded from this analysis.

Data Source: PHSU Reportable HIV Surveillance Data and BCCfE Drug Treatment Data.

$VCH52.\ Proportion\ of\ all\ individuals\ on\ ARVs\ who\ currently\ virally\ suppressed\ with\ viral\ load\ less\ than\ 200\ copies/mL$

Proportion of all individuals who are prescribed ARVs and achieved viral suppression.

Rationale: Adherence to treatment protocols is essential to reducing the morbidity and mortality associated with HIV and AIDS. The majority of individuals who adhere to ARV treatment protocols achieve virologic suppression, and thus measuring this status serves as a proxy measurement for treatment adherence. It is important to know the measure of this indicator in both new ARV starts and all individuals on ARV treatment.

Analytical Definition

- Numerator: A subset of the denominator who have two consecutive viral load records of <200 copies per/mL and with at least 3 month apart in the given time period after therapy start.
- Denominator: All HIV positive individuals who were on ARV treatment in a given time period and who have at least 2 viral load tests on record.
- Year to Date: take the value from the current half-year and previous half-year.

Additional Screening Criteria:

- This cohort will retrospectively select back over the past 12 months.
- Individuals deceased by the end of the given time period are excluded.
- Individuals with first initiative ARV prescription prior to HIV diagnosis are excluded.
- Viral load testing records that occurred prior to diagnosis are excluded from this analysis.

Data Source: PHSU Reportable HIV Surveillance Data and BCCfE Drug Treatment Data.

VCH53. Mean monitored viral load of all known HIV positive individuals within VCH

Mean vL values of all HIV positive individuals in the dataset within a given time period.

Rationale: Mean monitored viral load is an indicator of the average viral burden for a particular population of HIV-positive persons, and is related to treatment effectiveness and transmission risk.

Additional Selection Criteria:

- Individuals deceased by the end of the given time period are excluded.
- Viral load testing records with either missing dates or missing values are excluded from this analysis.

Data Source: PHSU Reportable HIV Surveillance Data and BCCfE Drug Treatment Data.

VCH54. Proportion of all individuals with viral load greater than 200 copies/mL

The proportion of HIV positive individuals who have at least a vL tests >200 copies/mL within the given time period.

Rationale: HIV positive individuals with vL levels >200 copies/mL on record are considered to be infective, as opposed to suppressed individuals who are less likely to transmit HIV. This indicator also provides a measure of monitored viral load.

Analytical Definition

- Numerator: A subset of the denominator who have at least a viral load >200 copies/mL within a given time period.
- Denominator: All alive HIV positive individuals in the dataset

Additional Selection Criteria:

- Individuals deceased by the end of the given time period are excluded.
- Viral load testing records with either missing dates or missing values are excluded from this analysis.

Data Source: PHSU Reportable HIV Surveillance Data and BCCfE Drug Treatment Data.

Further Graphs, Maps and Tables

Mean Monitored Viral Load

Rationale: Community viral load is a population-based measure of HIV-infected individual's concentration of plasma HIV-1 RNA (viral load). It is an attractive indicator to monitor the progress of HIV care and treatment when assessed over time. However there is some confusion over the definition and measurement of this indicator. In August of 2011, the US CDC issued a guideline on measurement of community viral load. The document proposed a family of viral load measurements, including population viral load, community viral load, in-care viral load and monitored viral load. In this report, we will use the monitored viral load to track the progress of HIV care and treatment. Monitored Viral Load is limited to the readily observable HIV viral loads of persons who have been diagnosed with HIV infection, who are receiving HIV medical care and disease monitoring through viral load testing, and whose test results are available for surveillance. The guideline also suggests using the most recent viral load result per person for the analysis in the given time period.

Additional Selection Criteria:

- The most recent viral load is used to the analysis in a given time period.
- Individuals deceased by the end of the given time period are excluded.
- Viral load testing records with either missing dates or missing values are excluded from this analysis.

Data Source: PHSU Reportable HIV Surveillance Data and BC CfE Drug Treatment Data.

Appendix B. Population Monitoring Data Sources

Appendix B – Population Monitoring Data Sources

HIV Point-of-Care (POC) Data

POC testing volumes reported from VCH sites engaged in STOP HIV/AIDS activities through Vancouver Coastal Health Authority Memorandums of Understanding.

Limitations: This data is not representative of all clinics in Vancouver HSDA or VCH, and thus testing volumes of clinics conducting POC tests outside of STOP HIV/AIDS initiatives are not captured. For this reason, the data likely reflect an underestimate of the true counts for POC testing volumes within the VCH population.

Data on POC preliminary positives, false positives and previous positives is received from VCH CD Control Department. All clinics in VCH who do conduct POC testing report any preliminary positive HIV tests to VCH CD Control Department. VCH CD Control Department conducts follow-up on a reported POC positives to determine if confirmation testing has been completed, and notes any previous and false positives.

Limitations: This process is relatively new, and as a result not all sites conducting POC testing are reporting these data to VCH CD Control Department. For this reason, the data likely reflect an underestimate of the true counts for preliminary positives, false positives and previous positives.

HIV Laboratory Testing Data

Data on HIV laboratory testing volumes is captured from both the PHSA Public Health Microbiology and Reference Laboratory (for all HIV confirmatory western blot analyses in the province of BC) and Providence Health Care (for first-step HIV confirmatory testing for all HIV tests ordered at St. Paul's Hospital).

Limitations: PHSA Public Health Microbiology Reference Laboratory testing data is raw data on all HIV tests conducted within VCH, and analyses were produced using aggregate counts. This data does take into account multiple HIV tests for same sample, but do not take into account multiple HIV tests conducted for an individual within a 30-day period, and thus does not determine test counts by "testing episodes". Data capture for all HIV testing done at St Paul's Hospital was initiated in April 2008, and thus results reported herein for Q1 of 2008 do not include counts done at St. Paul's Hospital.

PHSU Reportable HIV Surveillance Data

Data on all HIV positive tests in VCH is reported to the Public Health Surveillance Unit at Vancouver Coastal Health Authority. This data is captured on a monthly basis, and includes information on sociodemographic characteristics, place of HIV testing, address of residence at time of diagnosis, HIV risk factors and stage of HIV disease at diagnosis. This data does not report on individuals who tested in VCH but are non-residents of VCH.

HIV Clinical Monitoring Data

Clinical monitoring data includes information on viral load (vL) testing information and CD4 testing information that are captured by the BC Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS. Viral load data and CD4 data are captured for all HIV positive individuals having such clinical monitoring completed in the province of BC. These data are to be updated in real time, however in some instances there may be small lag times between sample draw date and the time the result ends up in the data base (typically less than 15 days).

Limitations: Real time updates has not always been in place for CD4 values. From 2007 and earlier only annual updates of CD4 measurements were received. Furthermore, all CD4 results are not consistently captured and uploaded into the BC CfE database, as not all sites completing these tests have been linked to source data.

Drug Treatment Program Data

Treatment of nearly all HIV positive individuals in the province of BC is delivered or coordinated through the BC Centre for Excellence Drug Treatment Program. As a result information on treatment regimens, date of treatment starts and restarts is captured for all HIV positive individuals involved in this program.

Limitations: Raw data from the CfE Drug Treatment Program is not provided for these analyses, instead some data have been cleaned and rolled into aggregate counts. As a result, there are some assumptions that have been made in order to work with this data format. These are described in the data definitions.